

SUBJECT: Re: elector certificate
FROM: Kenneth Chesebro <[REDACTED]@msn.com>
TO: Judge Troupis <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
CC: "[REDACTED]@yahoo.com" <[REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
DATE: 12/10/2020 14:03

For example, Georgia is an example of a relatively unusual state that lists under President and Vice President:
<https://www.archives.gov/files/electoral-college/2016/vote-georgia.pdf>

From: Kenneth Chesebro <[REDACTED]@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2020 2:58 PM
To: Judge Troupis <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Cc: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com <[REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: elector certificate

Some states have certificates where the electors list all their names under President, and then also under Vice President, but it's clearly not required.

It's typical to just say (as this does) that the electors voted distinctly, by separate ballot, and record the totals -- and then have all the electors sign on the 2nd page, attesting that it's true.

Attached is the 2016 WI certificate which did exactly that.

It would be nice to get some of those official-looking gold seals!

Ken

From: Judge Troupis <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2020 2:54 PM
To: Kenneth Chesebro <[REDACTED]@msn.com>
Cc: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com <[REDACTED]@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: elector certificate

So do they list all the names after "10" in each category and then sign below?

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 10, 2020, at 1:16 PM, Kenneth Chesebro <[REDACTED]@msn.com> wrote:

Hi, Jim,

I've done a redraft of the Wisconsin certificate, cutting out some verbiage that's no necessary and is not found in the 2016 certificates of the other 5 contested states, and which also borrows some "best practices" language from the other certificates.

Obviously it's up to the Wisconsin electors what language to use, but I think this draft works better. I plan to adapt it for the other states.