

SUBJECT: WTH? — Twitter censoring statistical analysis of Biden vote!!  
FROM: Kenneth Chesebro <[REDACTED]@msn.com>  
TO: Judge Troupis <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>  
DATE: 11/08/2020 10:26

Jim,

I just got banned from Twitter by tweeting this out to Scott Adams (Twitter buddy of mine)!: <https://gnews.org/534248/>

Glenn Reynolds reports Facebook is censoring, too: <https://pjmedia.com/instapundit/412541/>

Maybe the statistical analysis will turn out to be Russian disinformation, but it's incredible that one can't even reference it on social media.

I would be happy to volunteer for the Trump legal team, if that would be helpful.

I'm particularly interested in the broadest grounds for challenge, on facts that Dems will have difficulty rebutting — for example, your point about the “card check” system under which election officials admittedly harvested Dem ballots using private funds; the failure to allow actual observation at central count (I was there Tuesday night and was amazed); and statistical anomalies of the sort explored in the banned article (and in a thread @ScottAdamsSays recent RT'd, which I now can't even access!).

On the statistics, my expertise with the law of expert testimony (handled Daubert and Joiner) could be helpful re the formulation of expert affidavits.

If these various systemic abuses can be proven, and found to be pivotal in a court decision and/or detailed legislative findings, I don't see why electoral votes certified by Evers (at least if court proceedings are still pending on the “safe harbor” days) should be counted over an alternative slate sent in by the legislature, whose decisions should have primacy under Article II. At minimum, with such a cloud of confusion, no votes from WI (and perhaps also MI and PA) should be counted, perhaps enough to throw the election to the House.

Thanks for getting me invited to do poll watching. I just wish the watch party had ended more happily!

Ken

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)